Percent of energy comprised of ultra-processed foods

Overview

The percent of energy from ultra-processed foods in the diet is an indicator that provides an understanding of changing dietary patterns, cultural preference, and diet quality. Low- and middle-income countries are rapidly undergoing a nutrition transition that is characterized by changes in dietary patterns and nutrient intakes, resulting in higher consumption of energy dense and processed foods (Popkin 2002 [1]). Ultra-processed foods are foods that undergo industrial processes (e.g. salting, sugaring, frying, and curing) that extend shelf life, make food extremely palatable, and are designed to be ready-to-consume (Vandevijvere et al., 2013 [2]). Diets rich in ultra-processed foods may promote obesity and chronic disease because these foods typically have a high glycemic load and are energy dense, low in fiber, micronutrients, and phytochemicals, and high in unhealthy fats and sugars, in addition to being highly palatable. (Ludwig 2011 [3]).

Method of Construction

Data used to construct this indicator should come from food consumption surveys of individuals, such as quantitative 24-Hour Dietary Recalls [4], Weighed Food Records [5] and quantitative Food Frequency Questionnaires [6] (FFQs) designed specifically for this purpose. See the table below for a more detailed explanation of the classification of unprocessed/minimally processed, processed, and ultra-processed food groups, and refer to Monteiro et al., 2010 [7]. A food composition table is used to estimate the total energy (kcal) intake over the day from all foods and beverages recalled in the survey, including the energy provided by ultra-processed foods. The indicator is then constructed using the formula below:

\[
\text{Percent of energy from ultra-processed foods} = \frac{\text{Total energy from ultra-processed foods consumed (kcal)}}{\text{Total energy from all foods consumed (kcal)}} \times 100
\]

Classification groups as proposed by Monteiro et al., 2010 [7]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group 1: Unprocessed and minimally processed foods</th>
<th>Group 2: Processed culinary or food industry ingredients</th>
<th>Group 3: Ultra-processed food products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Processing methods

Cleaning, portioning, grating, flaking, squeezing, bottling, drying, chilling, freezing, pasteurization, fermentation, fat reduction, removal of inedible parts, vacuum and gas packing, simple wrapping.

Pressure, milling, refining, hydrogenation, hydrolysis, additives, enzymes.

Extraction of substances from unprocessed or minimally processed foods to produce culinary/food industry ingredients.

Includes the use of group 2 products. Salting, sugaring, baking frying, deep frying, curing, smoking, pickling, canning, addition of preservatives and additives, synthetic vitamins and minerals, packaging.

Create durable, accessible, convenient, palatable, sometimes habit-forming products.

Extraction of substances from unprocessed or minimally processed foods to produce culinary/food industry ingredients.

Preservation, availability and accessibility of food product, safety, palatability.

Create durable, accessible, convenient, palatable, sometimes habit-forming products.

Examples

Fresh meat, grains, legumes, nuts, fruits, vegetables, roots, tubers, tea, coffee, herbs, tap water, bottled spring water.

Starches, flours, oils and fats, salt, sugar, sweeteners, high fructose corn syrup, lactose, milk and soy proteins.

Ready-to-eat snacks and desserts (breads, cereal bars, chips, cakes), ready-to-heat foods (frozen pizza and pasta, sausages, fish sticks, canned soups), infant formulas, baby foods.

Uses

This indicator has been proposed by the International Network for Food and Obesity/Non-Communicable Disease Research, Monitoring and Action Support (INFORMAS) as an indicator that can be used globally to monitor changes in population diet quality over time and across countries (Vandevijvere et al., 2013). This indicator enables an analysis of the relative contribution of ultra-processed foods to overall dietary energy intakes based on data from individuals, and therefore can be used to assess differences between sub-population groups based on geographic location, income group, and various other demographic characteristics.

Strengths and Weaknesses

This indicator measures the relative contribution of ultra-processed foods to overall dietary energy intakes; it does not provide information on the consumption of individual nutrients or specific foods. Since ultra-processed foods can often be consumed outside of the home, survey data used for this indicator that do not include detailed information about food consumed outside of the home will underestimate the percent of energy from ultra-processed
foods. It should also be noted that this indicator has not yet been thoroughly tested (Vandevijvere et al., 2013 [2]).

Data Source

Data from individual quantitative 24-Hour Dietary Recalls [4], Weighed Food Records [5], and FFQs [6] designed for this purpose can be used to construct this indicator. This indicator could also be constructed at the household level using Household Consumption and Expenditure Surveys [8] (HCES) that have an appropriate level of disaggregation of foods and include detailed information on foods consumed away from home (Smith et al., 2014 [9]).

The FAO/WHO Global Individual Food consumption data Tool (FAO/WHO GIFT [10]) is a source for individual level quantitative dietary data. The FAO/WHO GIFT aims to make publically available existing quantitative individual food consumption data from countries all over the world. National or regional Food Composition Tables should be used to identify the energy content of the foods and can be found at Food and Agriculture’s (FAO) International Network of Food Data Systems (INFOODS [11]) or the International Life Science Institute’s (ILSI) World Nutrient Databases for Dietary Studies (WNDDS [12]).

Links to guidelines


Links to illustrative analyses


Expert review conducted by:

- Dr. Elaine Ferguson, Associate Professor, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine (LSHTM)

Food Security Dimensions

- Quantity [18]
- Quality [19]
Data Collection Levels

- Individual [20]

Data Sources and Methods

- 24-Hour Dietary Recall (24HR)
- Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ)
- Weighed Food Record (WFR)
- Food Composition Databases

Requires Food Composition Database

- Yes [21]